arXiv:1002.3851v1 [math.FA] 20 Feb 2010

A CHARACTERIZATION OF SCHAUDER FRAMES WHICH ARE NEAR-SCHAUDER BASES

RUI LIU AND BENTUO ZHENG

Abstract. A basic problem of interest in connection with the study of Schauder frames in Banach spaces is that of characterizing those Schauder frames which can essentially be regarded as Schauder bases. In this paper, we give a solution to this problem using the notion of the minimal-associated sequence spaces and the minimal-associated reconstruction operators for Schauder frames. We prove that a Schauder frame is a near-Schauder basis if and only if the kernel of the minimal-associated reconstruction operator contains no copy of c_0. In particular, a Schauder frame of a Banach space with no copy of c_0 is a near-Schauder basis if and only if the minimal-associated sequence space contains no copy of c_0. In these cases, the minimal-associated reconstruction operator has a finite dimensional kernel and the dimension of the kernel is exactly the excess of the near-Schauder basis. Using these results, we make related applications on Besselian frames and near-Riesz bases.

1. Introduction

The theory of frames in Hilbert spaces presents a central tool in mathematics and engineering, and has developed rather rapidly in the past decade. The motivation has come from applications to signal analysis, as well as from applications to a wide variety of areas of mathematics, such as, sampling theory [2], operator theory [15], harmonic analysis [13], nonlinear sparse approximation [10], pseudo-differential operators [14], and quantum computing [11]. Recently, the theory of frames also showed connections to theoretical problems such as the Kadison-Singer Problem [6, 8].

Recall that if (x_i) is a standard frame for a Hilbert space H with the frame transform S, then we get the reconstruction formula (see [9]):

$$x = \sum \langle x, S^{-1}x_i\rangle x_i \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

Date: February 20, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B15, 46B45; Secondary 47A20.

Key words and phrases. Schauder frame; Near-Schauder basis; Minimal-associated sequence space; Minimal-associated reconstruction operator.

Rui Liu was supported by funds from the Linear Analysis Workshop at Texas A&M University in 2008, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10571090), the Doctoral Programme Foundation of Institution of Higher Education (No. 20060055010), and the China Scholarship Council.

Bentuo Zheng's research is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0800061.

The definition of a Schauder frame in a Banach space comes naturally from this representation [5, 7, 15], which, on the one hand, generalizes Hilbert frames, and extends the notion of Schauder bases, on the other. Moreover, from [5, Proposition 2.4], the property of a Banach space X to admit a (unconditional) Schauder frame is equivalent to the property of X being isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a Banach space with a (unconditional) Schauder basis. It was shown independently by Pełczyński [20] and Johnson, Rosenthal and Zippin [17] (see also [4, Theorem 3.13]) that the property of X being isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a space with a basis is equivalent to X having the Bounded Approximation Property.

For a Schauder frame, a natural and important problem is that of determining when it is a near-Schauder basis in the sense that the deletion of a finite subset leaves a Schauder basis, that is, the Schauder frame has finite excess. Section 3 is the introduction of what we call a minimal-associated sequence space and a minimal-associated reconstruction operator. In Section 4, we show that a Schauder frame is a near-Schauder basis if and only if the kernel of the minimal-associated reconstruction operator contains no copy of c_0. In particular, a Schauder frame of a Banach space with no copy of c_0 is a near-Schauder basis if and only if the minimal-associated sequence space contains no copy of c_0. In these cases, the minimal-associated reconstruction operator has a finite dimensional kernel and the dimension of the kernel is exactly the excess of the near-Schauder basis. In Section 5, we make related applications to Besselian frames and near-Riesz bases.

2. Preliminaries

The unit sphere and the unit ball of a Banach space X are denoted by S_X and B_X, respectively. The vector space of scalar sequences (a_i), which vanish eventually, is denoted by c_{00}. The usual unit vector basis of c_{00}, as well as the unit vector basis of c_0 and ℓ_p $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ and the corresponding biorthogonal functionals will be denoted by (e_i) and (e_i^*), respectively. The closed linear span of a family of (x_i) is denoted by $[x_i]$.

A Schauder basis of a Banach space X is a sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$, which has the property that every x can be uniquely written as a norm converging series $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i x_i$. It follows then from the Uniform Boundedness Principle that the biorthogonal functionals $(x_i^*), x_i^* : X \to \mathbb{K}, \sum a_j x_j \mapsto a_i$ are bounded. Let P_n be the natural projection of X onto $[x_i]_{i=1}^n$, the span of $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$. The basis constant of (x_i) is $\sup_n\{\|P_n\|\}$. The projection constant of (x_i) is $\sup_{n,m}\{\|P_n - P_m\|\}$. A family of (x_i) which is a Schauder basis of its closed linear span, $[x_i]$, is called a basic sequence.

Two basic sequences (x_i) and (y_i) in the respective Banach spaces X and Y are *equivalent* and we write $(x_i) \sim (y_i)$, if whenever we take a sequence of scalars (a_i), then $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i x_i$ converges if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i y_i$ converges. Moreover, for two basic sequences (x_i) and (y_i), the following conditions are equivalent [1]:

i) $(x_i) \sim (y_i)$;

ii) There is an isomorphism $T : [x_i] \to [y_i]$ such that $T(x_i) = y_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$;

iii) There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all sequences of scalars $(a_i) \in c_{00}$ we have
$$C^{-1}\Big\| \sum a_i x_i \Big\| \le \Big\| \sum a_i y_i \Big\| \le C \Big\| \sum a_i x_i \Big\|.$$

We say that a Banach space X contains no copy of c_0 if X contains no subspace isomorphic to c_0, or equivalently, there is no basic sequence in X equivalent to the unit vector basis (e_i) of c_0. If there is a basic sequence (x_i) in X such that there are positive constants $A, B > 0$ such that for all finite nonzero sequences of scalars $(a_i) \in c_{00}$ we have
$$A \max |a_i| \le \Big\| \sum a_i x_i \Big\| \le B \max |a_i|,$$
then we say that X contains a $\sqrt{B/A}$-copy of c_0.

3. Minimal-associated Sequence Spaces and Near-Schauder Bases

In this section we give a short review of the concepts of Schauder frames and associated sequence spaces (see also [5, 7, 18, 19]), and introduce the notion of minimal-associated sequence spaces and near-Schauder bases.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a (finite or infinite dimensional) separable Banach space. A sequence $(x_j, f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{J}}$, with $(x_j)_{j\in\mathbb{J}} \subset X$, $(f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{J}} \subset X^*$, and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{N}$ or $\mathbb{J} = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, is called a *Schauder frame of* X if for every $x \in X$
$$x = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{J}} f_j(x) x_j. \tag{3.1}$$

When $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{N}$, we mean that the series in (3.1) converges in norm, $x = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j(x) x_j$.

Remark 3.2. Throughout this paper, it will be our convention that we only consider non-zero Schauder frames (x_i, f_i) indexed by $\mathbb{N}$, i.e. that $x_i \ne 0$ and $f_i \ne 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.3. Let (x_i, f_i) be a Schauder frame of a Banach space X and let E be a Banach space with a Schauder basis (e_i). We call $(E, (e_i))$ an *associated sequence space to* (x_i, f_i) and (e_i) an *associated Schauder basis*, if
$$\begin{aligned} S : E \to X, &\quad \sum a_i e_i \mapsto \sum a_i x_i \quad \text{and} \\ T : X \to E, &\quad x = \sum f_i(x) x_i \mapsto \sum f_i(x) e_i \end{aligned}$$
are bounded operators. Recall S the *associated reconstruction operator* and T the *associated decomposition operator* or *analysis operator*.

In [13] the triple $((x_i), (f_i), E)$ is called an *atomic decomposition of* X.

Remark 3.4. Notice that for all $x \in X$,

$$S \circ T(x) = S \circ T\big(\sum f_i(x)x_i\big) = S\big(\sum f_i(x)e_i\big) = \sum f_i(x)x_i = x,$$

that is, $S \circ T = \mathrm{Id}_X$. Therefore, T must be an isomorphic embedding from X into E and S a surjection onto X. Moreover, it follows easily that $E = \ker S \oplus T(X)$.

Definition 3.5. Let (x_i, f_i) be a Schauder frame of a Banach space X. We denote the unit vector basis of c_{00} by (e_i) and define on c_{00} the following norm $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$:

$$\Big\|\sum a_i e_i\Big\|_{\min} = \max_{m\le n}\Big\|\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i x_i\Big\|_X \quad \text{for all } (a_i) \in c_{00}. \tag{3.2}$$

It follows easily that (e_i) is a bimonotone basic sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$, which we denote by $(\hat{e}_i)$, and thus, a Schauder basis of the completion of c_{00} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$, which we denote by $E_{\min}$ (see also [5, Proposition 2.4] and [7, Theorem 2.6]). From the proof of [5, Proposition 2.4] and [7, Theorem 2.6], we also know that $(E_{\min}, (\hat{e}_i))$ is an associated sequence space to (x_i, f_i). If $(E, (e_i))$ is an associated sequence space to (x_i, f_i) with S the associated reconstruction operator and K the projection constant of (e_i), then for any $(a_i) \in c_{00}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\sum a_i \hat{e}_i\Big\| &= \max_{m\le n}\Big\|\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i x_i\Big\| = \max_{m\le n}\Big\|\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i S(e_i)\Big\| \\ &\le \|S\| \max_{m\le n}\Big\|\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i e_i\Big\| \le K\|S\|\Big\|\sum a_i e_i\Big\|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we call $(E_{\min}, (\hat{e}_i))$ the *minimal-associated sequence space to* (x_i, f_i) or *minimal sequence space associated to* (x_i, f_i) and $(\hat{e}_i)$ the *minimal-associated Schauder basis*, respectively. We call $S_{\min} : E_{\min} \to X, \sum a_i \hat{e}_i \mapsto \sum a_i x_i$ the *minimal-associated reconstruction operator* and $T_{\min} : X \to E_{\min}, x = \sum f_i(x)x_i \mapsto \sum f_i(x)\hat{e}_i$ the *minimal-associated decomposition operator* or *analysis operator*.

Lemma 3.6. *$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges in X if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \hat{e}_i$ converges in $E_{\min}$.*

Proof. Sufficiency is trivial by using $S_{\min}$ the minimal-associated reconstruction operator. For necessity, if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $N < m \le n$, $\|\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i x_i\| < \epsilon$, then

$$\Big\|\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i \hat{e}_i\Big\| = \max_{m\le p\le q\le n}\Big\|\sum_{i=p}^{q} a_i x_i\Big\| < \epsilon.$$

It follows that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \hat{e}_i$ converges. □

Definition 3.7. Let X a Banach space with $(x_i) \subset X$. We call (x_i) a *near-Schauder basis of* X if there is a finite set $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$ is a Schauder basis of X. We call a Schauder frame (x_i, f_i) a near-Schauder basis if (x_i) is a near-Schauder basis.

Remark 3.8. If there are two finite subsets $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma_1}$ and $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma_2}$ both are Schauder bases of X, then $\operatorname{card}\sigma_1 = \operatorname{card}\sigma_2$. Indeed, let $N = \max\{i : i \in \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2\}$, then the Schauder bases $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma_1}$ and $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma_2}$ both contain the basic subsequence $(x_i)_{i>N}$. It follows easily that $\operatorname{codim}[x_i]_{i>N} = N - \operatorname{card}\sigma_1 = N - \operatorname{card}\sigma_2$. It implies that $\operatorname{card}\sigma_1 = \operatorname{card}\sigma_2$.

Then we define the *excess* of a near-Schauder basis (x_i) of X by

$$\operatorname{exc}(x_i) = \{\operatorname{card}\sigma : \exists \text{ a finite subset } \sigma \subset \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } (x_i)_{i\notin\sigma} \text{ is a Schauder basis of } X\}.$$

4. Main Results

The following is our main theorem, which gives a characterization of Schauder frames which are near-Schauder bases.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (x_i, f_i) be a Schauder frame of a Banach space X and let $(E_{\min}, (\hat{e}_i))$ be the minimal-associated sequence space to (x_i, f_i) with $S_{\min}$ the minimal-associated reconstruction operator.*

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

a) *The kernel of $S_{\min}$ contains no copy of c_0;*
b) *$S_{\min}$ has a finite dimensional kernel;*
c) *(x_i) is a near-Schauder basis of X.*

Furthermore, in this case, we have

$$\operatorname{exc}(x_i) = \dim(\ker S_{\min}).$$

Then by Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following corollary, which gives a characterization of Schauder frames of a space with no copy of c_0 which are near-Schauder bases.

Corollary 4.2. *Let (x_i, f_i) be a Schauder frame of a Banach space X and let $(E_{\min}, (\hat{e}_i))$ be the minimal-associated sequence space to (x_i, f_i) with $S_{\min}$ the minimal-associated reconstruction operator.*

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

a) *$E_{\min}$ contains no copy of c_0.*
b)
  i) *X contains no copy of c_0.*
  ii) *$S_{\min}$ has a finite dimensional kernel.*
c)
  i) *X contains no copy of c_0.*
  ii) *(x_i) is a near-Schauder basis of X.*

Furthermore, in this case, we have $\operatorname{exc}(x_i) = \dim(\ker S_{\min})$.

Remark 4.3. It is well known that reflexive spaces (or even separable dual Banach spaces) contain no copy of c_0. So many classical Banach spaces, for example ℓ_p $(1 \le p < \infty)$, are in this category.

For the proof of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2, we need the following results.

Proposition 4.4. *Let (x_i, f_i) be a Schauder frame of a Banach space X and let $(E,(e_i))$ be an associated sequence space to (x_i, f_i) with S the associated reconstruction operator.*

Then S has a finite dimensional kernel if and only if there is a finite subset $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$ is a Schauder basis of X which is equivalent to $(e_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$. Furthermore, in this case, we have $\operatorname{exc}(x_i) = \dim(\ker S)$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\ker S$ is infinite dimensional. By hypothesis, there is a finite subset $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$ is a Schauder basis of X equivalent to $(e_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$. Then $S|_{[e_i]_{i\notin\sigma}}$ is an isomorphism from $[e_i]_{i\notin\sigma}$ onto $[x_i]_{i\notin\sigma} = X$. Since $\dim(\ker S) = \infty$ and $\operatorname{codim}([e_i]_{i\notin\sigma}) = \operatorname{card}\sigma < \infty$, there is $u \in [e_i]_{i\notin\sigma} \cap \ker S$ with $\|u\| = 1$. Then $S|_{[e_i]_{i\notin\sigma}}(u) = S(u) = 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

Necessity. Let T be the associated decomposition operator. We claim that $\ker S = (\mathrm{Id}_E - T\circ S)(E)$. Indeed, for any $u \in E$, if $S(u) = 0$, then $(\mathrm{Id}_E - T\circ S)(u) = u$. So $\ker S \subset (\mathrm{Id}_E - T\circ S)(E)$. On the other hand, by Remark 3.4, $S\circ T = \mathrm{Id}_X$. Then for any $u \in E$, $S\circ(\mathrm{Id}_E - T\circ S)(u) = S(u) - S\circ T\circ S(u) = S(u) - S(u) = 0$. It follows that $(\mathrm{Id}_E - T\circ S)(E) \subset \ker S$. Thus, $\ker S = (\mathrm{Id}_E - T\circ S)(E)$.

Then let $Q = \mathrm{Id}_E - T\circ S$. Since $\ker S$ is finite dimensional, Q is a finite-rank operator. We claim that there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\inf\big\{\|u - Q(u)\| : u \in [e_i]_{i\geq N}, \|u\| = 1\big\} > 0.$$

Suppose not. That is, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\inf\big\{\|u - Q(u)\| : u \in [e_i]_{i\geq n}, \|u\| = 1\big\} = 0$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $u_n \in [e_i]_{i\geq n}$ so that $\|u_n\| = 1$ and $\|u_n - Q(u_n)\| < 1/2^{n+1}$. Furthermore, there is big enough $m_n \geq n$ and $\tilde{u}_n \in [e_i]_{n\leq i\leq m_n}$ so that $\|\tilde{u}_n\| = 1$ and $\|\tilde{u}_n - u_n + Q(\tilde{u}_n - u_n)\| \leq 1/2^{n+1}$, then $\|\tilde{u}_n - Q(\tilde{u}_n)\| \leq \|\tilde{u}_n - u_n + Q(\tilde{u}_n - u_n)\| + \|u_n - Q(u_n)\| \leq 1/2^n$. Choose an increasing sequence $(n_i) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\tilde{u}_{n_i})$ is a normalized block basic sequence of (e_i), i.e. a sequence of norm one vectors with finite increasing supports, with $\|\tilde{u}_{n_i} - Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i})\| \leq 1/2^{n_i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Q is a finite-rank operator and $(Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i}))$ is a bounded sequence, there is a further subsequence, which, without loss of generality, we still denote by $(\tilde{u}_{n_i})$, such that $Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i}) \to u_0$ for some $u_0 \in E$. It follows easily that $\|u_0\| = 1$. Let $(e_i^*) \subset E^*$ be the biorthogonal functionals of (e_i). Pick N_1 with $|e_{N_1}^*(u_0)| > 0$, then

$$\operatorname{dist}(u_0, [e_i]_{i>N_1}) = \inf_{u\in[e_i]_{i>N_1}} \|u_0 - u\| \geq \inf_{u\in[e_i]_{i>N_1}} \frac{|e_{N_1}^*(u_0 - u)|}{\|e_{N_1}^*\|} = \frac{|e_{N_1}^*(u_0)|}{\|e_{N_1}^*\|} > 0.$$

Let $\delta = |e_{N_1}^*(u_0)|/\|e_{N_1}^*\|$. Choose $N_2 > N_1$ so big that, for all $n_i > N_2$, we have $\|Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i}) - u_0\| < \delta/2$ and $1/2^{N_2} < \delta/2$. Take a sequence of positive numbers (b_i) with

$\sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i\tilde{u}_{n_i} - \sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i})\Big\| &\geq \Big\|\sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i\tilde{u}_{n_i} - u_0\Big\| - \Big\|u_0 - \sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i})\Big\| \\ &\geq \operatorname{dist}(u_0, [e_i]_{i>N_1}) - \sum_{n_i>N_2} \|b_i u_0 - b_i Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i})\| \\ &> \delta - \sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i \cdot \frac{\delta}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\Big\|\sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i\tilde{u}_{n_i} - \sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i})\Big\| \leq \sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i\|\tilde{u}_{n_i} - Q(\tilde{u}_{n_i})\| = \sum_{n_i>N_2} b_i \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} < \frac{1}{2^{N_2}} < \frac{\delta}{2},$$

which leads to a contradiction.

Thus, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\inf\big\{\|u - Q(u)\| : u \in [e_i]_{i\geq N}, \|u\| = 1\big\} > 0$. Since $\mathrm{Id}_E - Q = T \circ S$, these imply that $T \circ S|_{[e_i]_{i\geq N}}$ is an isomorphism from $[e_i]_{i\geq N}$ onto $[T \circ S(e_i)]_{i\geq N} = [T(x_i)]_{i\geq N}$. By Remark 3.4, T is an isomorphic embedding from X into E, then $S|_{[e_i]_{i\geq N}}$ is an isomorphism from $[e_i]_{i\geq N}$ onto $[x_i]_{i\geq N}$, that is, $(x_i)_{i\geq N}$ is a basic sequence equivalent to $(e_i)_{i\geq N}$. Thus, it follows easily that there is a finite subset $\sigma \subset \{1, ..., N\}$ such that $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$ is a Schauder basis of X equivalent to $(e_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$.

Finally, we prove that, in this case, $\operatorname{exc}(x_i) = \dim(\ker S)$. By hypothesis, there is a finite subset $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$ is a Schauder basis equivalent to $(e_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$. Thus, it is equivalent to prove that $\dim(\ker S) = \operatorname{card}\sigma = \operatorname{exc}(x_i)$. First, we show that $\dim(\ker S) \geq \operatorname{card}\sigma$. It is clear that $S|_{[e_i]_{i\notin\sigma}}$ is an isomorphism of $[e_i]_{i\notin\sigma}$ onto $[x_i]_{i\notin\sigma} = X$. Then for any $k \in \sigma$, since $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$ is a Schauder basis of X, let $(x_i^*)_{i\notin\sigma} \subset X^*$ be the biorthogonal functionals of $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$, we have $x_k = \sum_{i\notin\sigma} x_i^*(x_k)x_i$. Thus,

$$S(e_k) = x_k = \sum_{i\notin\sigma} x_i^*(x_k)x_i = \sum_{i\notin\sigma} x_i^*(x_k)S(e_i) = S\Big(\sum_{i\notin\sigma} x_i^*(x_k)e_i\Big).$$

Thus, $S(e_k - \sum_{i\notin\sigma} x_i^*(x_k)e_i) = 0$ for all $k \in \sigma$. $(e_k - \sum_{i\notin\sigma} x_i^*(x_k)e_i)_{k\in\sigma} \subset \ker S$, and clearly, $(e_k^*)_{k\in\sigma} \subset E^*$ is the orthogonal functionals. So $(e_k - \sum_{i\notin\sigma} x_i^*(x_k)e_i)_{k\in\sigma}$ is linear independent, it follows that $\dim(\ker S) \geq \dim([e_k - \sum_{i\notin\sigma} x_i^*(x_k)e_i]_{k\in\sigma}) = \operatorname{card}\sigma$. Now, we prove that $\dim(\ker S) \leq \operatorname{card}\sigma$. If not, that is, $\dim(\ker S) > \operatorname{card}\sigma$, then there exists finite Schauder basis $(u_k)_{k=1}^{\dim(\ker S)}$ of $\ker S$ such that for all $1 \leq k \leq \dim(\ker S)$, $u_k = \sum_{i\in\sigma} e_i^*(u_k)e_i + v_k$ where $v_k = \sum_{i\notin\sigma} e_i^*(u_k)e_i \in [e_i]_{i\notin\sigma}$. Since $\dim(\ker S) > \operatorname{card}\sigma$, we know that there is a non-zero linear combination $\sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\ker S)} \lambda_k u_k$ of $(u_k)_{k=1}^{\dim(\ker S)}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\ker S)} \lambda_k \sum_{i\in\sigma} e_i^*(u_k)e_i = 0.$$

Thus, $\sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\ker S)} \lambda_k u_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim(\ker S)} \lambda_k v_k \in [e_i]_{i \notin \sigma} \cap \ker S = \{0\}$, which leads to a contradiction.

□

Proposition 4.5. *Let (x_i, f_i) be a Schauder frame of a Banach space X and let $(E_{\min}, (\hat{e}_i))$ be the minimal-associated sequence space to (x_i, f_i) with $S_{\min}$ the minimal-associated reconstruction operator.*

If the kernel of $S_{\min}$ contains no copy of c_0, then $\ker S_{\min}$ is finite dimensional.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\ker S_{\min}$ is infinite dimensional. Choose $u_1 \in \ker S_{\min}$ with $\|u_1\| = 1$. Then

$$0 = S_{\min}(u_1) = S_{\min}\big(\sum \hat{e}_i^*(u_1)\hat{e}_i\big) = \sum \hat{e}_i^*(u_1) S_{\min}(\hat{e}_i) = \sum \hat{e}_i^*(u_1) x_i,$$

where $(\hat{e}_i^*) \subset E_{\min}^*$ is the biorthogonal functionals of $(\hat{e}_i)$. Take $(\epsilon_i), (\delta_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\sum \epsilon_i < 1/2$ and $\sum \delta_i < 1$. Then we can find n_1 so big that $\| \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{\infty} \hat{e}_i^*(u_1)\hat{e}_i\| < \epsilon_1$ and $\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \hat{e}_i^*(u_1) x_i\| < \delta_1$. Since $\dim(\ker S_{\min}) = \infty$ and $\operatorname{codim}([\,\hat{e}_i]_{i \geq n_1+1}) = n_1 < \infty$, there is $u_2 \in \ker S_{\min} \cap [\,\hat{e}_i]_{i \geq n_1+1}$ with $\|u_2\| = 1$. As in previous step, from the fact that

$$0 = S_{\min}(u_2) = S_{\min}\big(\sum_{i=n_1+1}^{\infty} \hat{e}_i^*(u_2)\hat{e}_i\big) = \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{\infty} \hat{e}_i^*(u_2) S_{\min}(\hat{e}_i) = \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{\infty} \hat{e}_i^*(u_2) x_i,$$

we can find $n_2 > n_1$ such that $\| \sum_{i=n_2+1}^{\infty} \hat{e}_i^*(u_2)\hat{e}_i\| < \epsilon_2$ and $\| \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \hat{e}_i^*(u_2) x_i\| < \delta_2$. Continuing in this fashion, we construct an increasing sequence $\{n_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ with $n_0 = 0$, and a sequence $(u_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, where $u_i \in \ker S_{\min} \cap [\,\hat{e}_i]_{i \geq n_{i-1}+1}$ and $\|u_i\| = 1$, such that

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{\infty} \hat{e}_j^*(u_i)\hat{e}_j \Big\| < \epsilon_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Big\| \sum_{j=n_{i-1}}^{n_i} \hat{e}_j^*(u_i) x_j \Big\| < \delta_i \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\tilde{u}_i = \sum_{j=n_{i-1}+1}^{n_i} \hat{e}_j^*(u_i)\hat{e}_j$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$1 = \|u_i\| \geq \Big\| \sum_{j=n_{i-1}+1}^{n_i} \hat{e}_j^*(u_i)\hat{e}_j \Big\| = \|\tilde{u}_i\| \geq \|u_i\| - \Big\| \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{\infty} \hat{e}_j^*(u_i)\hat{e}_j \Big\| \geq 1 - \epsilon_i > \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows that $(\tilde{u}_i)$ is a semi-normalized block basic sequence of $(\hat{e}_i)$. Moreover,

$$\sum \|u_i - \tilde{u}_i\| = \sum \big\| \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{\infty} \hat{e}_j^*(u_i)\hat{e}_j \big\| < \sum \epsilon_i < \frac{1}{2},$$

there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so big that $(u_i)_{i \geq N}$ is a normalized basic sequence which is equivalent to $(\tilde{u}_i)_{i \geq N}$. Since $(u_i) \subset \ker S_{\min}$ that contains no copy of c_0, it follows that $(\tilde{u}_i)_{i \geq N}$ is not equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0. Whenever $\sum a_i \tilde{u}_i$ converges, $(a_i) \in c_0$. Thus, these imply that there must exist $(c_i) \in c_0$ such that $\sum c_i \tilde{u}_i$ does not converges. Let $b_j = c_i \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i+1})$ for $n_i + 1 \leq j \leq n_{i+1}$.

Then we claim that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j x_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} c_i \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i+1}) x_j$$

converges. Indeed, for any $\epsilon > 0$, choose N so big that $\sup_{i \geq N} |c_i| < \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{3\|S_{\min}\|}, \frac{\epsilon}{3}\}$. For any $n_N \leq l \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$, if there is $i_0 \geq 0$ such that $l, m \in [n_{i_0} + 1, n_{i_0+1}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \sum_{j=l}^{m} b_j x_j \Big\| &= \Big\| \sum_{j=l}^{m} c_{i_0} \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i_0+1}) x_j \Big\| = |c_{i_0}| \cdot \Big\| \sum_{j=l}^{m} \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i_0+1}) S_{\min}(\hat{e}_j) \Big\| \\ &\leq |c_{i_0}| \cdot \|S_{\min}\| \cdot \Big\| \sum_{j=l}^{m} \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i_0+1}) \hat{e}_j \Big\| \leq |c_{i_0}| \cdot \|S_{\min}\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

If not, there is $0 \leq i_1 < i_2$ such that $l \in [n_{i_1} + 1, n_{i_1+1}]$ and $m \in [n_{i_2} + 1, n_{i_2+1}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \sum_{j=l}^{m} b_j x_j \Big\| &= \Big\| \sum_{j=l}^{n_{i_1+1}} c_{i_1} \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i_1+1}) x_j + \sum_{k=i_1+1}^{i_2} \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} c_k \hat{e}_j^*(u_{k+1}) x_j + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=n_{i_2}+1}^{m} c_{i_2} \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i_2+1}) x_j \Big\| \\ &\leq \Big\| \sum_{j=l}^{n_{i_1+1}} c_{i_1} \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i_1+1}) x_j \Big\| + \sum_{k=i_1+1}^{i_2} |c_k| \cdot \Big\| \sum_{j=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} \hat{e}_j^*(u_{k+1}) x_j \Big\| + \\ &\quad + \Big\| \sum_{j=n_{i_2}+1}^{m} c_{i_2} \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i_2+1}) x_j \Big\| \\ &\leq |c_{i_1}| \cdot \|S_{\min}\| + \sum_{k=i_1+1}^{i_2} \delta_k \, |c_k| + |c_{i_2}| \cdot \|S_{\min}\| \\ &\leq |c_{i_1}| \cdot \|S_{\min}\| + \sup_{k > i_1} |c_k| + |c_{i_2}| \cdot \|S_{\min}\| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j x_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} c_i \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i+1}) x_j$ converges. So by Lemma 3.6, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \hat{e}_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{n_{i+1}} c_i \hat{e}_j^*(u_{i+1}) \hat{e}_j = \sum c_i \tilde{u}_i$ converges, which leads to a contradiction. ☐

We are now ready to present the proof of our main theorem and corollary:

Proof of Theorem 4.1. $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b)$ follows from Proposition 4.5.
$(b) \Leftrightarrow (c)$ and $\mathrm{exc}(x_i) = \dim(\ker S_{\min})$ both are obtained by Proposition 4.4. ☐

Proof of Corollary 4.2. It follows from Theorem 4.1. ☐

The following example is a special case of our main results.

Example 4.6. Let (z_i) be a normalized Schauder basis of a Banach space X with biorthogonal functionals (z_i^*). Let $(x_i, f_i) \subset X \times X^*$ be defined by $x_{2k-1} = x_{2k} = z_k$ and $f_{2k-1} = f_{2k} = z_k^*/2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every $x \in X$, we obtain that

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k^*(x) z_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_k^*}{2}(x) z_k + \frac{z_k^*}{2}(x) z_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{2k-1}(x) x_{2k-1} + f_{2k}(x) x_{2k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x) x_i.$$

It follows that (x_i, f_i) is a Schauder frame of X. Let $(E_{\min}, (\hat{e}_i))$ be the minimal sequence space associated to (x_i, f_i) with $S_{\min}$ the minimal-associated reconstruction operator. Then

$$\max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_k| \le \Big\| \sum a_k (\hat{e}_{2k} - \hat{e}_{2k-1}) \Big\| \le 2 \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_k|, \ \text{ for all } (a_k) \in c_{00}.$$

Moreover, $(\hat{e}_{2k} - \hat{e}_{2k-1}) \subset \ker S_{\min}$. Thus, $\ker S_{\min}$ contains a $\sqrt{2}$-copy of c_0.

Proof. First, since $S_{\min}(\hat{e}_{2k} - \hat{e}_{2k-1}) = x_{2k} - x_{2k-1} = z_k - z_k = 0$, it implies that $(\hat{e}_{2k} - \hat{e}_{2k-1}) \subset \ker S_{\min}$. Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\| \sum_{2k \le 2n} a_k z_k - \sum_{2k-1 \le 2n} a_k z_k \| = 0$ and $\| \sum_{2k \le 2n-1} a_k z_k - \sum_{2k-1 \le 2n-1} a_k z_k \| = \|a_n z_n\| = |a_n|$. Then for any $(a_k) \in c_{00}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k (\hat{e}_{2k} - \hat{e}_{2k-1}) \Big\| = \Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \hat{e}_{2k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \hat{e}_{2k-1} \Big\| \\
= \ & \max_{m \le n} \Big\| \sum_{m \le 2k \le n} a_k x_{2k} - \sum_{m \le 2k-1 \le n} a_k x_{2k-1} \Big\| \ge \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| \sum_{2k \le n} a_k x_{2k} - \sum_{2k-1 \le n} a_k x_{2k-1} \Big\| \\
= \ & \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| \sum_{2k \le n} a_k z_k - \sum_{2k-1 \le n} a_k z_k \Big\| = \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|,
\end{aligned}$$

and by the above formula, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k (\hat{e}_{2k} - \hat{e}_{2k-1}) \Big\| \\
= \ & \max_{m \le n} \Big\| \sum_{m \le 2k \le n} a_k x_{2k} - \sum_{m \le 2k-1 \le n} a_k x_{2k-1} \Big\| \\
= \ & \max_{m \le n} \Big\| \sum_{2k \le n} a_k x_{2k} - \sum_{2k \le m-1} a_k x_{2k} - \sum_{2k-1 \le n} a_k x_{2k-1} + \sum_{2k-1 \le m-1} a_k x_{2k-1} \Big\| \\
\le \ & 2 \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Big\| \sum_{2k \le n} a_k x_{2k} - \sum_{2k-1 \le n} a_k x_{2k-1} \Big\| = 2 \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_n|.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

5. Applications to Besselian Frames and Near-Riesz Bases

By [5, Remark 2.7], we know that, for a sequence (x_j) in H, (x_j) is a Hilbert frame for H if and only if there is a sequence (f_j) in H such that (x_j, f_j) is a Schauder frame of H and that $(\ell_2(\mathbb{J}), (e_j)_{j\in\mathbb{J}})$ (with its unit vector basis) is an associated sequence space to (x_j, f_j).

Definition 5.1. [9, 16] If $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a Hilbert frame for a Hilbert space H, then we say that (x_i) for H is

(i) *Besselian* if whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges, then $(a_i) \in \ell_2$;
(ii) a *near-Riesz basis* if there is a finite subset $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_i)_{i\notin\sigma}$ is a Riesz basis of H.

Then we get the main result of [16] as a corollary of our theorem on a special case.

Corollary 5.2. [16] *Let (x_i) be a Hilbert frame in a Hilbert space H.*

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) *(x_i) is a near-Riesz basis for H;*
(ii) *(x_i) is Besselian;*
(iii) *$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i$ converges in H if and only if $(a_i) \in \ell_2$.*

Furthermore, in this case, we have $\operatorname{exc}(x_i) = \dim(\ker S)$, where $S : \ell_2 \to H$ with $\sum a_i e_i \mapsto \sum a_i x_i$ is the pre-frame operator.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii)⇒(iii) is trivial.

(ii)⇔(i). If (x_i) is Besselian, then it follows easily that the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 is equivalent to the minimal-associated Schauder basis, which implies that the minimal-associated sequence space is isomorphic to ℓ_2, which contains no copy of c_0. By Corollary 4.2, we obtain that (x_i) is a near-Riesz basis for H, and $\operatorname{exc}(x_i) = \dim(\ker S)$. □

Acknowledgment.

The authors express their appreciation to Dr. Thomas Schlumprecht for many very helpful comments regarding frame theory in Banach spaces. The interested readers should consult the papers [5, 7, 18]. The authors also would like to thank the referees for helpful suggestions that help us improve the presentation of this paper.

References

[1] F. Albiac, N.J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Springer, (2006).
[2] A. Alroubi, K. Gröchenig, *Nonuniform sampling and reconstruction in shift invariant spaces*, SIAM Rev., **43** (2001), 585-620.

[3] S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Monografje Matematyczne, Warszawa 1932.

[4] P.G. Casazza, *Approximation properties*, in: William B. Johnson, Joram Lindenstrauss (Eds.), Handbook on the Geometry of Banach Spaces, vol. 1, North- Holland, Amsterdam, (2001), 271-316.

[5] P.G. Casazza, S.J. Dilworth, E. Odell, Th. Schlumprecht, A. Zsak, *Coefficient Quantization for Frames in Banach Spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **348** (2008), 66-86.

[6] P.G. Casazza, M. Fickus, J.C. Tremain, E. Weber, The Kadison-Singer problem in mathematics and engineering: a detailed account, Contemp. Math., **414** (2006), 299-356.

[7] P.G. Casazza, D. Han, D.R. Larson, *Frames for Banach spaces*, The functional and harmonic analysis of wavelets and frames (San Antonio, TX, 1999), Contemp. Math., **247** (1999), 149–182.

[8] P.G. Casazza, J.C. Tremain, *The Kadison-Singer problem in Mathematics and Engineering*, Proceedings National Academy of Sciences, **103** No. 7 (2006), 2032-2039.

[9] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*, Birkhauser, (2003).

[10] D.L. Donoho, M. Elad, *Optimally sparse representations in general non orthogonal dictionaries via ℓ_1 minimization*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **100** (2003), 2197–2202.

[11] Y.C. Eldar, G.D. Forney, *Optimal tight frames and quantum measurement*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **48** (2002) 599–610.

[12] K. Gröchenig, *Describing functions: Atomic decompositions versus frames*, Monatsh. Math., **112** (1991), 1-41.

[13] K. Gröchenig, Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis, Birkhäuser, Boston, (2000).

[14] K. Gröchenig, C. Heil, *Modulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **34** (1999), 439-457.

[15] D. Han, D.R. Larson, *Frames, bases, and group representation*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **147** (2000), x+94 pp.

[16] J. Holub, *Pre-frame operators, Besselian frame, and near-Riesz bases in Hilbert spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **122** (3) (1994), 779-785.

[17] W.B. Johnson, H.P. Rosenthal, M. Zippin, *On bases, finite dimensional decompositions, and weaker structures in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math., **9** (1971), 488-504.

[18] R. Liu, *On shrinking and boundedly complete Schauder frames of Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **365** (2010), 385-398.

[19] E. Odell, B. Sari, Th. Schlumprecht, B. Zheng, *Systems formed by translations of one element in L_p*, preprint.

[20] A. Pełczyński, *Any separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property is a complemented subspace of a Banach space with basis*, Studia Math., **40** (1971), 239-242.

[21] I. Singer, *Some remarks on domination of sequences*, Math. Ann., **184** (1970), 113-132.

Department of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368
E-mail address: `leorui@mail.nankai.edu.cn; rliu@math.tamu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station C1200, Austin, TX 78712-0257
E-mail address: `btzheng@math.utexas.edu`